

## Appeals & Complaints Committee

A meeting of Appeals & Complaints Committee was held on Thursday, 12th October, 2017.

**Present:** Cllr David Wilburn(Chairman), Cllr Derrick Brown, Cllr Evaline Cunningham, Cllr Elsi Hampton, Cllr Gillian Corr (Sub Cllr Ross Patterson), Cllr Bill Woodhead MBE

**Officers:** Julie Butcher, Jennifer Galligan( HR, L&C); Jamie Stephenson, Adrian Thickett, Anthony Wilton (D of EG&D), Sarah Whaley(DCE)

**Also in attendance:** Objector Mr. Mike Campbell and his supporter Mr. David Campbell

**Apologies:** Cllr Ross Patterson, Cllr Tracey Stott

**ACC 1/17 Evacuation Procedure**

The Evacuation Procedure was noted.

**ACC 2/17 Declarations of Interest**

There were no declarations of interest.

**ACC 3/17 Minutes from the Appeals and Complaints Committee meeting which was held on the 12th December 2016.**

Consideration was given to the minutes of the meeting which was held on the 12th December 2016 for approval and signature.

RESOLVED that the minutes be approved and signed as a correct record by the Chairman.

**ACC 4/17 Committee Procedure**

The Committee considered a proposed procedure for the meeting.

RESOLVED that the procedure be agreed.

**ACC 5/17 HENSHAW DRIVE, HOLYSTONE DRIVE, ROCHESTER COURT AND BLAIR AVENUE, INGLEBY BARWICK – PROPOSED SCHOOL TIME WAITING AND LOADING RESTRICTIONS SUMMARY**

Members were provided with a report relating to outstanding objections received following the advertising of a proposal to introduce school time waiting and loading restrictions on lengths of Henshaw Drive, Holystone Drive, Rochester Court and Blair Avenue in Ingleby Barwick to prevent inconsiderate parking causing road safety concerns and obstruction to two-way traffic flow.

Officers from Economic Growth and Development Services presented the attached report to members. The officers report also contained the representations received from members of the public in response to the statutory consultation process in full and summarised them within the main report. An objector to the proposed traffic regulation order attended the meeting and was given the opportunity to speak.

With regard to the proposed restrictions on Rochester Court members had some sympathy with the objector who worked from home, with no other available location to keep his work van which contained valuable equipment. Whilst members agreed that the restrictions were necessary for the safety of children accessing the school via the pedestrian route off Rochester Court they requested enquiries be made in relation to leaving a gap in the waiting restrictions to enable the objector to park his vehicle on the road, if possible.

With regard to the proposed restrictions on Henshaw Drive, Holystone Drive and Blair Avenue members considered the written representations carefully. Whilst members were mindful that the proposals may displace parking to other roads or further along the named roads they supported the need to prevent obstructive parking near the junctions of those roads and for the new access to the church for the reasons presented to them in the report and at committee.

Members therefore unanimously recommended that the proposed waiting restrictions be supported save that the Director of Economic Growth and Development be asked to consider options to reduce the waiting restrictions on Rochester Court to enable Mr Campbell to park his work van on the road near his house. If this was not possible the committee would reconsider the matter.

RESOLVED that the Director of Economic Growth and Development be recommended:

1) that the proposed waiting restrictions be supported save that the Director of Economic Growth and Development be asked to consider options to reduce the waiting restrictions on Rochester Court to enable Mr Campbell to park his work van on the road near his house.

2) If officers proposed to continue with the waiting restrictions outside of Mr Campbells house following those considerations the matter was to return to the Appeals and Complaints Committee to finalise their consideration of the objections.

**ACC  
6/17**

**THE RINGS AND BANCROFT DRIVE, INGLEBY BARWICK – PROPOSED NO WAITING AT ANY TIME RESTRICTIONS (NWAAT) AND SANDGATE SHOPS CAR PARK, INGLEBY BARWICK – CONTROL OF USE ORDER**

Members were provided with a report relating to outstanding objections received following the advertising of a proposal to introduce No Waiting At Any Time Restrictions (NWAAT) on The Rings and Bancroft Drive, and a control of use Order on the Sandgate Shops car park.

Officers from Economic Growth and Development Services presented the attached report to members. The officers report contained the representations received from members of the public in response to the statutory consultation process in full and summarised them within the main report.

There were no objectors in attendance at the meeting however members carefully considered the submitted written representations.

Members were mindful of the frustration of residents with obstructive parking

and displaced parking but felt that the waiting restrictions proposed for Bancroft Drive and The Rings to enable the safe manoeuvre of delivery vehicles, the safe manoeuvre of residents on and off their drives and vehicles entering and leaving the Sandgate shops car park were necessary. The restrictions would also enable the enforcement of anti-social parking on Bancroft Drive. Members were mindful that any impact from the proposed restrictions could be monitored and action taken if necessary.

With regard to the proposed control of use order for the Sandgate shops car park members were of the opinion that a three hour time limit was reasonable and would provide for an improved turnover of the parking spaces and limit the need for shoppers to park on residential streets.

Members considered the suggestion that resident only parking be introduced on Bancroft Drive however were mindful of the officers advice that the road was not yet adopted and so was not under consideration at this time. The parking along Bancroft Drive as a result of the control of use order would be monitored and action reconsidered if necessary.

Members therefore unanimously agreed that the objections did not outweigh the need for the order and recommended that the proposed order be brought into force.

RESOLVED that the Director of Economic Growth and Development be recommended that the proposed order be brought into force as detailed within the main report.